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# The British Imperial Hand Behind Russiagate And Global Governance Exposed Again

The results of the [Durham Report released on May 15](https://substack.com/redirect/65567b61-8e6f-4a4a-976a-9d1dbe8950a8?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) after a four year inquiry into the claims of ‘Russian interference’ into the US elections of 2016 not only provided

1. a devastating critique of the abuses of the FBI and Clinton Machine, but also
2. a vast international intelligence operation managed by British Intelligence directed straight from the bowels of MI6.

The 300 page Durham Report emphasized this fact in a number of locations by pointing to the contents of the “dirty dossier” of MI6 operative Christopher Steele whose claims of Russian collusion with the Trump campaign were never grounded in anything beyond loose gossip. This is extremely important, since the claims of the Christopher Steele Dossier were the entire basis for the witch hunt known as Russiagate, which the FBI inquiry under then-director James Comey dubbed ‘Crossfire Hurricane’. The Durham’s report stated:

“Our investigation determined that the Crossfire Hurricane investigators did not and could not corroborate any of the substantive allegations contained in the Steele reporting.’

Citing the lesser known but equally important Igor Danchenko report used by Steele as the primary source of evidence for most of his grandiose claims, Durham also wrote that he was ‘unable to provide any corroborating evidence to support the Steele allegations.’

### ****So who is Igor Danchenko?****

This young Russian-born analyst [at the Brookings Institute](https://substack.com/redirect/905e16ac-0e33-4225-a818-310f579ae431?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) who hadn’t been to Russia in decades admitted to the FBI in January 2017 that he had no contacts with any notable Russian operatives anywhere near the Kremlin (or even Russia itself it seems), and was totally confused when he was asked why he believed Steele hired him to put together an intelligence dossier on Trump in the first place.

Such admissions didn’t seem to bother the FBI at this time, who **ignored the evidence of the dossier’s fraudulent foundations and proceeded to use the Steele/Danchenko material to acquire FISA warrants on Carter Page.** This dossier also fueled the fires of the Russiagate inquisition and first gave voice to the narrative that Russia “hacked” the DNC emails (which have been [completely refuted by former NSA insider Bill Binney](https://substack.com/redirect/6ce53e4e-55f5-40df-b35f-7493ce23d737?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg)).

The firestorm of revelations surrounding the Brookings Institute were what caused the Trump administration to appoint John Durham as special investigator in 2019 which included a long-awaited probe on the powerful liberal think tank which has acted as a controlling force in America’s deep state for decades and the powerful figure of the Institute’s former president Strobe Talbott.

### ****The Key Role of Strobe Talbott****

Strobe Talbott not only led Brookings for years (2002-2012), but served as former Deputy Secretary of State of the Clinton White House, former director of the Council on Foreign Relations, was a member of the Trilateral Commissions executive committee, and also acted as Chairman of the Foreign Affairs Policy Board of the Obama White House. It was Talbott who deployed Danchenko’s Brookings Institute mentor Fiona Hill [to acquire a job at the National Security Council](https://substack.com/redirect/3ffab857-49e1-42b3-ab23-2b81a6854d55?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) in 2017 where she not only advanced an anti-Russian war plan but testified in Trump’s impeachment trial in 2019.

Talbott also coordinated closely with Susan Rice (another Rhodes Scholar) who worked under him at the Brookings Institute and was later [revealed to be at the center](https://substack.com/redirect/bb4c2687-ccbc-4d22-9f9f-ecd8767e5df4?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) of the “unmasking” entrapment operation that targeted Michael Flynn in January 2017.

Fiona Hill, who had known Christopher Steele [since 2006](https://substack.com/redirect/90f3a978-7a19-476e-9dad-a1293fd6e3fb?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) and were in frequent discussion since 2016, co-authored two Brookings Institute intelligence reports with Danchenko and endorsed him as a “creative and accomplished analyst and researcher” which was posted on his LinkedIn account.

The “additional dossiers that weren’t the Steele dossier” refer to [a lesser known dodgy dossier](https://substack.com/redirect/40691638-e09f-4bbf-9bbf-f50e18cb59e9?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) produced by Brookings-affiliated journalist Cody Shearer (brother-in-law of Strobe Talbott) which was crafted explicitly to validate the wildly unsupported claims found in Steele’s dossier.

Apparently having two dossiers full of the same lies is more believable than only one.

Other information which has surfaced — especially since Steele’s [UK trial testimony](https://substack.com/redirect/e8ea0a48-51de-4a1e-ad50-5d6523dd4fcc?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) was made public — is that Talbott reached out to the MI6 asset in August 2016 to discuss the dossier, while comparing notes on the Shearer file.

With Trump’s surprise election in November 2016, both Steele and Talbott met [whom?] to strategize how they should handle the Steele dossier going forward.

Another Brookings Institute player who interfaced directly with Steele and ensured that the dossier made it into the hands of prominent pro-impeachment figures and news media outlets in America was none other than regime-change queen [Victoria Nuland herself](https://substack.com/redirect/b05dedc6-512b-44c5-a7ee-803d63ac2c97?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) who hired Steele as an advisor during the Ukraine Maidan and met with him on several occasions to discuss the dossier before Trump’s election. Nuland had earlier bragged that the regime change operation in Ukraine cost the US government and National Endowment for Democracy $5 billion prior to 2014.

Both Nuland and her neo-con husband Robert Kagan serve as Senior Fellows at Brookings Institute.


### ****The Real issue of Talbott’s British Pedigree****

It would here be the height of folly to presume, as some commentators have done, that Talbott’s role in this operation indicates an American guiding hand between the plot to undo the 2016 elections. The fact is that Talbott’s entire life and world outlook have been shaped not by wholly anti-American ideas but rather by British Imperial principles that are programed into the minds of all Rhodes Scholars, as was the case with Talbott during his time in Oxford from 1966-1968.

With Bill Clinton’s 1992 presidential victory, Rhodes Scholars like Strobe Talbott (Assistant Secretary of State and co-architect of Perestroika) and Robert Reich (Secretary of Labor), were joined by “Rhodies” Ira Magaziner, Derek Shearer (Senior Economic Advisors), Susan Rice (Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs), Kevin Thurme (Health and Human Services Chief of Staff), George Stephanopoulos (Communications Director), Richard Celeste (Ambassador to India) [and dozens of other Rhodes Scholars](https://substack.com/redirect/99c39136-8cd9-4c4b-b0d5-5b0e6b98c6ff?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg).

While leading the Council on Foreign Relations, Talbott worked closely with Rhodes Scholar Richard Haass who became president of the CFR in 2003.

But it was during his Oxford Days that young Nelson Strobridge (Strobe) Talbott III adopted a near-religious commitment to a post-nation state world order. Upon his return to America, Talbott was positioned into a prominent role in the western propaganda bureau serving as a leading editor of Time Magazine. It was during the end of this phase of his career that the soon-to-be Assistant Secretary of State outlined his manifesto for the New World Order in a July 20, 1992 article entitled [“The Birth of a Global Nation”.](https://substack.com/redirect/f7810401-1533-4c5f-90c4-932087e69d39?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg)

**Everything Talbott has done** in the ensuing three decades (along with the throngs of other Rhodes Scholars [who flooded into the White House with Clinton’s 1992 election](https://substack.com/redirect/99c39136-8cd9-4c4b-b0d5-5b0e6b98c6ff?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) and [who managed the presidencies of Barack Obama and Joe Biden](https://substack.com/redirect/89caf7b5-5fcd-42cb-8448-7383195a81e0?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg)) **can be understood by this general philosophical premise** and gets to the heart of the false dispute between neoconservative imperialists whom Talbott appears to despise, and neoliberal imperialists of a Malthusian/Green New Dealing variety like Talbott.

### ****The Birth of a Global Nation****

Standing on the cusp of the disintegration of the Soviet Union and the rise of a unipolar era in 1992, Talbott couldn’t help but celebrate the dissolution of sovereign nations and the creation of a world government stating that within the next century “nationhood as we know it will be obsolete; all states will recognize a single, global authority…”

Ignoring the fact that sovereign nation states were created as instruments to protect citizens from empires, Talbott falsely defines nationalism in the following terms: “All countries are basically social arrangements, accommodations to changing circumstances. No matter how permanent and even sacred they may seem at any one time, in fact they are all artificial and temporary. Through the ages, there has been an overall trend toward larger units claiming sovereignty and paradoxically, a gradual diminution of how much true sovereignty any one country actually has.”

This false definition of nationalism (which has become hegemonic amongst academia in recent generations) then sets up a series of false problems which he proceeds to “solve”.

In the Hobbesian system of zero sum thinking that Talbott imposes onto world history, nation states are assumed to be the natural outgrowth of selfishness, exploitation of the weak, and war. Here Talbott entirely ignores all evidence that history’s wars have been artificially manipulated by a transnational financial elite and instead characterizes war as mankind’s natural state of being — thus requiring some sort of resolution of a leviathan or global force of enlightened elites from above:

“The big absorbed the small, the strong the weak. National might made international right. Such a world was in a more or less constant state of war… perhaps national sovereignty wasn’t such a great idea after all.”

Then describing the hoped-for era of world government which he believes to be a utopian future age, Talbott lists the creation of the wonderful 20th century innovations of

* the League of Nations,
* NATO,
* the IMF, and
* Globalization.

Talbott describes NATO as “history’s most ambitious, enduring and successful exercise in collective security” and then celebrates the International Monetary Fund. Talbott said “the free world formed multilateral financial institutions that depend on member states’ willingness to give up a degree of national sovereignty. The International Monetary Fund can virtually dictate fiscal policies, even including how much tax a government should levy on its citizens.”

Forecasting the Blair-Cheney R2P (responsibility to protect) protocol which would soon justify the humanitarian bombings of Kosovo, Iraq, Libya, and Syria, Talbott championed the destruction of national sovereignty made possible by the invasion of Kuwait in 1991 saying, “the internal affairs of a nation used to be off limits to the world community. But the principle of ‘humanitarian intervention is gaining acceptance.”

### ****Straussian Neocons vs Rhodes Scholars****

**So far**, if Talbott’s worldview looks pretty similar to that of your typical neocon, then don’t be surprised.

The goals of a neoliberal Rhodes Scholar imperialist and a neoconservative [Straussian imperialist](https://substack.com/redirect/17bc743d-0f79-4d5a-989f-02dfc6b06634?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) are essentially the same. **Both types**

1. ultimately seek a post-nation state world order governed by a financial oligarchy and their technocratic alpha managers, and both
2. define “power” in absolutely Nietzschean terms of “force”.

There are **however** several important differences which may seem superficial yet are important to understand **if one wishes to avoid “left vs right” traps in thinking** that many well-intentioned analysts are inclined to fall into.

One primary difference is that while neocons of a Kagan-Cheney-Bolton variety are much more willing to accept the fact (at least amongst themselves) that their ideal world order necessitates constant states of asymmetric “forever wars” of each against all — managed by their alphas from above — the left-wing imperialists of Talbott’s mindset prefer to promote a more pacifist narrative which I have no doubt some of them — including Talbott himself — actually believe to be true. Theirs is an “enlightened” rainbow fascism with a democratic face and a green Malthusian veneer which [Aldous Huxley once described as](https://substack.com/redirect/129a7ac6-1c75-40ec-b7f7-2081f475c57c?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) “a concentration camp without tears.”

### ****The Green Path to World Government****

[a democratic face and a green Malthusian veneer]

Returning to Talbott’s manifesto, the green path to the new world order that differentiates a neo-con from neo-liberal is introduced along with his admiration for a powerful individual:

“Last month’s Earth Summit in Rio signified the participants’ acceptance of what Maurice Strong, the main impresario of the event, called ‘the transcending sovereignty of nature’: since the by-products of industrial civilization cross borders, so must the authority to deal with them.”

In a 1992 essay entitled ‘From Stockholm to Rio: A Journey Down a Generation’, Maurice Strong (whom Talbott has always revered) wrote:

“The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation.”

Two years earlier, [Strong gave an interview](https://substack.com/redirect/8cf965dd-8bf4-43e1-a5d9-445e6d07b576?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) wherein he described a “fiction book” he was fantasizing about writing which he described in the following manner:

“What if a small group of world leaders were to conclude that the principal risk to the Earth comes from the actions of the rich countries? And if the world is to survive, those rich countries would have to sign an agreement reducing their impact on the environment. Will they do it? The group’s conclusion is ‘no’. The rich countries won’t do it. They won’t change. So, in order to save the planet, the group decides: Isn’t the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn’t it our responsibility to bring that about?”

Much like his sociopathic counterpart George Soros, Strong’s entire career had been devoted to the cause of a green world government from his earliest days as a Canadian Rockefeller asset and vice-president of Power Corporation, to his entry into the new Liberal Government of Lester Pearson in 1963.

It was here that Strong created the Canadian International Development Corporation that helped accelerate 3rd world debt slavery (granting loans to poor nations on the condition that they adhered to IMF/World Bank conditionalities which kept them forever undeveloped and colonized). Strong’s great innovation during this time was his enforcement of the idea of “appropriate technologies” which poor nations were expected to invest in rather than advanced “dirty technology” like nuclear power which “modified natural tribal ecosystems” too much.

In many ways, Maurice Strong along with Prince Philip (who was President of the World Wildlife Fund while Strong was WWF Vice President in 1977) and Laurence Rockefeller (controlling hand behind both America’s conservation movement and [UFO disclosure movement](https://substack.com/redirect/2fe1acf6-783b-4525-8b18-5e4c56ebeea3?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg)), were founders of the Green New Deal [which is currently being pushed as the “solution”](https://substack.com/redirect/53c4a445-e607-4f23-8f79-4d6ce1c260cc?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) to the imminent economic collapse.

### ****The Rise of the Rhodes Trust****

Talbott’s neoliberal outlook was originally expounded by the racist imperialist Cecil Rhodes in his [1877 Confessions of Faith](https://substack.com/redirect/a3e2b2b1-5312-4dcf-a590-74f880a5605c?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) and upon whose name and will, the scholarship founded in 1902 was based. In this document Rhodes stated:

“Why should we not form a secret society with but one object the furtherance of the British Empire and the bringing of the whole uncivilised world under British rule for the recovery of the United States for the making the Anglo-Saxon race but one Empire…”

The fear which Rhodes and leading imperialists presiding over a dying British Empire faced in the end of the 19th century was that a new global system of win-win cooperation was fast emerging in the wake of Lincoln’s victory over the British-supported slave confederacy in 1865. This was a system defined by a mandate to ensure credit functioned as an instrument for agro-industrial progress and internal improvements outlined by Lincoln’s advisor Henry Carey (who also acted as lead organizer of the 1876 Centennial Exhibition which exported this system globally) who stated in his [Harmony of Interests](https://substack.com/redirect/142cf13d-d2b6-4c83-80ec-87cfe9111142?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg):

“Two systems are before the world; the one looks to increasing the proportion of persons and of capital engaged in trade and transportation, and therefore to diminishing the proportion engaged in producing commodities with which to trade, with necessarily diminished return to the labour of all; while the other looks to increasing the proportion engaged in the work of production, and diminishing that engaged in trade and transportation, with increased return to all, giving to the labourer good wages, and to the owner of capital good profits… One looks towards universal war; the other towards universal peace. One is the English system; the other we may be proud to call the American system, for it is the only one ever devised the tendency of which was that of elevating while equalizing the condition of man throughout the world.”

While this system was vigorously applied in 19th century Russia to build the Trans-Siberian Rail with the help of American engineers and industrialists, it was also applied in President Sadi Carnot’s France, Otto von Bismarck’s Germany, and even in Japan during the Meiji Restoration.

Sadly, **instead of** a new age of progress envisioned by such figures as Lincoln-allies [William Gilpin](https://substack.com/redirect/d136e3f0-41ee-4a25-a163-e7c995a5a042?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) or Henry Carey, China’s President Sun Yat-sen, Canada’s Wilfrid Laurier, or Russia’s Sergei Witte, a calamitous 20th century of war and assassinations unfolded [as the British Empire was re-organized](https://substack.com/redirect/b63b1128-9554-4d54-b3fe-dbee09567d7d?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) under the guiding light of the Roundtable Movement/Rhodes Trust from Oxford, and the Fabian Society from the London School of Economics.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

Both [kinds of imperialist (left and right wing)] think tanks indoctrinated talented youth from around the world, who were deployed back into their home countries to permeate all layers of public and private policy and which ultimately aimed at
1) abolishing sovereign nation states,
2) instituting world government in order to impose population control under a scientific dictatorship and
3) eliminate the conception of mankind and natural law that gave rise to the greatest renaissance movements over the previous 2500 years.

Rhodes described this indoctrination process in the starkest terms in his [1877 Testament of Faith](https://substack.com/redirect/a3e2b2b1-5312-4dcf-a590-74f880a5605c?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg):

“Let us form the same kind of society a Church for the extension of the British Empire. A society which should have members in every part of the British Empire working with one object and one idea we should have its members placed at our universities and our schools and should watch the English youth passing through their hands just one perhaps in every thousand would have the mind and feelings for such an object, he should be tried in every way, he should be tested whether he is endurant, possessed of eloquence, disregardful of the petty details of life, and if found to be such, then elected and bound by oath to serve for the rest of his life in his Country.”

To this day over 8000 students have been processed by the Rhodes Trust, with 32 being taken in from America every year permeating every branch of society.

The historian Carrol Quigley, of Georgetown University wrote of this cabal in his posthumously published “[*Anglo-American Establishment*](https://substack.com/redirect/d8f8c599-35a9-410c-8e19-05fe43d665c5?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg)”:

“This organization has been able to conceal its existence quite successfully, and many of its most influential members, satisfied to possess the reality rather than the appearance of power, are unknown even to close students of British history. This is the more surprising when we learn that one of the chief methods by which this Group works has been through **propaganda**.

* It plotted the Jameson Raid of 1895;
* it caused the Boer War of 1899-1902;
* it set up and controls the Rhodes Trust;
* it created the Union of South Africa in 1906-1910;
* it founded the British Empire periodical The Round Table in 1910, and this remains the mouthpiece of the Group;
* it has been the most powerful single influence in All Souls, Balliol, and New Colleges at Oxford for more than a generation;
* it has controlled The Times for more than fifty years, with the exception of the three years 1919-1922,
* it publicized the idea of and the name “British Commonwealth of Nations” in the period 1908-1918,
* it was the chief influence in Lloyd George’s war administration in 1917-1919 and dominated the British delegation to the Peace Conference of 1919;
* it had a great deal to do with the formation and management of the League of Nations and of the [system of mandates](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/League_of_Nations_mandate);
* it founded the [Royal Institute of International Affairs](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chatham_House) in 1919 and still controls it;
* it was one of the chief influences on British policy toward Ireland, Palestine, and India in the period 1917-1945;
* it was a very important influence on the policy of appeasement of Germany during the years 1920-1940; and
* it controlled and still controls, to a very considerable extent, the sources and the writing of the history of British Imperial and foreign policy since the Boer War.”

This organization [created NATO](https://substack.com/redirect/e70eafb8-64fc-4ccb-bee3-c2719ef17754?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg), [managed the Cold War](https://substack.com/redirect/736878d0-9d0c-4de7-b620-5953039289a2?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg), [orchestrated the fall](https://substack.com/redirect/4df93f9a-0062-4e45-90cf-7bfe9d0e80a7?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) of Canada’s Prime Minister in 1963, [led in creating](https://substack.com/redirect/1c1611e1-8118-4271-a60e-00839d3b96a4?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg) a post-industrial paradigm in 1971, and brought the world close to thermonuclear war on more than one occasion.

**Globalist** ideologues like Strobe Talbott and his ilk can kick and scream all they want but the lies behind Russian-infiltration into western governments are coming to light at a faster pace with each passing day, and the abuses of the Five Eyes intelligence agencies are becoming ever more difficult to ignore. A fight which was not part of the original 1991 script for a New World Order is underway shaped by opposing visions of what the new operating system will be: [either a closed system of scarcity-creation and depopulation or an open system based on overcoming limits to growth](https://substack.com/redirect/bf7ded88-82be-4776-8117-897c36472e95?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg).

Nations of the BRICS+ and SCO are increasingly growing the strength needed to de-weed their deep state gardens and carry out an international fight against those death cultists of Davos. With this coalition of civilizational states, a chance has emerged for a potential undoing of the efforts by the hereditary oligarchists for the first time in centuries.

Of course, it should never be forgotten that animals are not less dangerous when they are wounded and desperate, and with the meltdown of Russiagate and the light increasingly shining on the British agents in America, these beasts are more dangerous than ever.

**originally published on**[**The Last American Vagabond**](https://substack.com/redirect/444d9ef7-68e6-4d7f-b868-fecede2701ac?j=eyJ1IjoiZG9paTgifQ.91g_34VRuzI_MLkPmRIGM0gm4tNgR1dQS7br89dPnSg)
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